**Questions for Michel**

*30.01.2019*

**For the sentences, do we label them based on their context in the paragraph or section, or based on only the words in that specific sentence**

* **Sometimes a sentence by itself is very vague (e.g. groupH\_architecture, page 4, req 6, last sentence). -> then label based on its paragraph context?**
* **Sometimes a sentence is not in tact with its paragraph/section (e.g. groupH\_architecture, page 4, req 4, e.g. first sentence & req 7, first sentence!). -> then label only based on itself?**

**Functional requirements tend to be user stories. Should we mark them specially or just forget about user stories and label them as functional requirements.**

**Am I allowed to add a RASD file to go along with the BucciDeLogni Taxi project?**

**Scenarios: There exist 2 types of scenarios: Either a small story with a vivid illustration of the work area, or a specific case of a task. -> See requirements engineering book, page 114.  
What do we want to define as a scenario? Or would we like to skip it? (I don‘t think so).   
Does it seem reasonable to count „specific case of a task“ as a use case, but a „vivid scenario“ as a scenario.  
In any way, the scenarios in design\_report, don‘t seem to be either vivid or specific. I think the general rule is that scenarios should not contain any technical information – what do we do when those guidelines are completely broken? (see design\_report, page 7-9)**

**Constraints – design\_report: Go through these with Michel**